

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 11, 1997

LB 422

Bromm had asked about the meaning of the term "lawfully procured." For the record, since it's my amendment, I want to have the record complete. A conviction can be procured when a person has been denied counsel to which he or she was entitled. There can be other things involved in the trial that would violate the person's rights. What the Nebraska Supreme Court has said is that under due process, if a person has been convicted in a prior proceeding and that conviction is used to enhance a punishment in a later proceeding, that prior conviction is subject to attack in a separate proceeding, which means that the person who is under that first conviction can attack the unconstitutional manner in which that first conviction was obtained, and the attack is for the purpose of preventing that conviction from being used to enhance a punishment. If a conviction for any of this kind of behavior that we're talking about has been procured unconstitutionally, it could not be used because it was not lawfully procured. And what the Nebraska Supreme Court has stated is that if you deny a person the right at a future date to attack this earlier conviction that was unconstitutionally procured, you violate the person rights twice; first, in the initial conviction, secondly, in denying that person redress under the law. So the words "lawfully procured" do have a meaning, and I think it's only fair, I think it's only proper that when we are talking about a scheme that can lead to the killing of a person by the state, we should have a high standard. If the person is the kind that Senator Matzke had portrayed in his hypotheticals, the nature of the murder for which he or she is charged may be such as to implicate some of these other aggravating circumstances, but I am not in haste to see anybody put to death. I don't want to see anybody put to death. I think nobody should be put to death by the state, no matter what that person's crime was, no matter who the perpetrator was, no matter who the victim was against whom the murder was perpetrated. I am absolutely, totally, opposed to the death penalty under any and all circumstances, and I will do everything I can to see it abolished; and that failing, everything I can to narrow its scope; and that failing, everything I can to make sure that the procedures are as tight as possible to avoid an inappropriate application of that penalty, even under the law as it exists. I never think the application of the death penalty is appropriate, but taking it away from my absolute objection to it, and looking at the fact